

1

00:00:00,000 --> 00:00:07,000

But I think when this all is distinguished between the two terms possible and probable,

2

00:00:07,000 --> 00:00:12,000

I think it may be scientifically possible, but the probability of it occurring may be

3

00:00:12,000 --> 00:00:17,000

extremely small. To this time, there is no proof that I would consider valid scientific

4

00:00:17,000 --> 00:00:26,000

proof that we have been visited by patients. But I would say the great majority are balloons,

5

00:00:26,000 --> 00:00:35,000

meteorites, satellites, airfats, things, some winting off of them, and the birds. And then

6

00:00:35,000 --> 00:00:41,000

you get into a host of minor things. But in general you can say that the IFOs, identified

7

00:00:41,000 --> 00:00:47,000

ones, have turned out to be generally quite usual things, seen under unusual circumstances.

8

00:00:47,000 --> 00:00:52,000

What surprised me was that circumstances. If it's a global thing, what is it? Please don't

9

00:00:52,000 --> 00:01:02,000

ask me. I'm still afraid this might call me a studying impudence. But that among the

10

00:01:02,000 --> 00:01:08,000

tremendous noise or static or crowd, or whatever you want to call it, a tremendous number of

11

00:01:08,000 --> 00:01:15,000

unreliable reports that I will easily explain, there is this residue of most interesting

12

00:01:15,000 --> 00:01:20,000

cases that intrigue me in the same way that a good mystery story intrigues me. And I'd like

13

00:01:20,000 --> 00:01:25,000

to get the solution. I don't think it is based on people, although I would be delighted

14

00:01:25,000 --> 00:01:29,000

if it turned out that way. But if it is an astronomer, I think it would make astronomy

15

00:01:29,000 --> 00:01:34,000

even more interesting than it is. And as far as the Air Force is concerned, I can't speak

16

00:01:34,000 --> 00:01:41,000

for them, of course, but I should imagine that if the existence of spacecraft were really

17

00:01:41,000 --> 00:01:45,000

established, it would really increase the appropriation of the Air Force. That's the

18

00:01:45,000 --> 00:01:50,000

reason why being a crew is consistently the downright fault. And if they insist that

19

00:01:50,000 --> 00:01:54,000

space vehicles exist, they say it's fine that we're in the crew because it's on news.